

REC'D 10 MAR 2005

**WIPO** 

PCT

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS SHALL COMES UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**United States Patent and Trademark Office** 

**December 13, 2004** 

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE UNDER 35 USC 111.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/541,053 FILING DATE: February 03, 2004

**PRIORITY** 

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

By Authority of the

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

**Certifying Officer** 

PTO/SB/16 (01-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c).

Express Mail Label No.

	INVENTO	DR(S)		
Given Name (first and middle [if any])	Family Name or Suman	ne	Reside	
Eric	NADEAU		St-Simon, Québec, CANADA	
John Morris	Trindiction Conference of Conf			ANADA
Additional inventors are being named of		<del></del>	bered sheets attached hereto	·
LIVE BACTERIA FOR THE PREV ENTEROTOXIGENIC ESCHERIC		F POST-WEANIN	NG DIARRHEA ASSOCIATI	ED WITH
Direct all correspondence to:	CORRESPONDENCE ADDRES		710111111100	
Customer Number:	20559			. PTO
OR			•	ος <b>1</b>
Firm or Individual Name				5 757
Address				350
Address				
City		State	Zip	
Country		Telephone	Fax	
Drawing(s) Number of Sheets  Application Data Sheet. See 37 O  METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FILING F  Applicant claims small entity stat  A check or money order is enclo  The Director is herby authorized fees or credit any overpayment to  Payment by credit card. Form P  The invention was made by an agency United States Government.	EES FOR THIS PROVISIONAL Acts. See 37 CFR 1.27.  sed to cover the filing fees.  to charge filing to Deposit Account Number: 18-1  TO-2038 is attached.	PPLICATION FOR	FILING FEE Amount (\$) \$80.00	
No. Yes, the name of the U.SGovern	nment agency and the Governmer		are:	
Respectfully submitted,	[Page 1	OT 2]	Date_February, 2, 2004	
SIGNATURE			REGISTRATION NO. 53,917 (if appropriate) Docket Number: 000711-0048	
TELEPHONE (514) 987-6242	ONLY FOR FILING A PROVISIO			

USE ONLY FOR FILING A PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.51. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiallity is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 8 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case, Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Provisional Application, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

# LIVE BACTERIA FOR THE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF POST-WEANING DIARRHEA ASSOCIATED WITH ENTEROTOXIGENIC ESCHERICHIA COLI (ETEC) AND FOR GROWTH PROMOTION IN PIGS

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to an orally administered live *E. coli* strain for the prevention of the post-weaning diarrhea (PWD) in pigs and to promote growth in healthy animals, such as pigs. This strain is a non-enterotoxigenic strain expressing the F4 (or K88) attachment factor.

#### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

ETEC strains are recognized as the main causative agent of diarrhea and mortality for newborn piglets (neonatal diarrhea) and weaned pigs (PWD) (Fairbrother, 1992). ETEC strains involved in these diseases are mainly identified as serotype O149 and generally produce the F4 attaching factor and a combination of several toxins, such as LT, STa and Stb (Fairbrother, 1992). F4 fimbriae mediate adhesion of the bacteria to specific receptors (F4 receptors) on the brush borders of porcine intestinal epithelial cells. The absence or presence of these receptors is based on genetic inheritance. The adhesion of F4 fimbriae to receptors of intestinal cells is necessary for the colonisation of the intestine by F4-positive ETEC. Pathogenesis of F4-positive ETEC associated diseases includes several steps. After introduction of the F4-positive ETEC bacteria into the pig intestines, they colonize the intestine by the adhesion of F4 fimbriae to specific receptors. Bacteria start to intensively multiply and to transfer toxins into intestinal cells. The action of the toxins will cause the diarrhea (Fairbrother, 1992). Non-enterotoxiqenic F4-positive bacteria will also colonize the intestines but without causing the disease. F4 fimbriae are strongly immunogenic and induce a protective immune response in pigs (van den Broeck, 1999). During infection, mucosal anti-F4 antibodies can prevent the intestinal colonization of F4-positive ETEC.

Several vaccines exist for the prevention of neonatal diarrhea in pigs. However, there is no efficacious product available for the prevention of PWD. Neonatal diarrhea is mainly controlled by vaccination of the sow (mother) with F4 fimbriae. Several approved injectable vaccines are available for sows. Intramuscular injection of sows induces systemic anti-F4 antibody production. These antibodies are thus transferred to

the colostrum and the milk, protecting piglets during nursing. Anti-F4 antibodies will prevent the adhesion of F4 fimbriae to specific intestinal receptors, preventing the bacterial colonization. During the first 2 weeks of life, piglets have no systemic mature antibodies and are fully dependant on antibodies in the colostrum and milk for their protection against pathogenic bacteria (Bijlsma et al., 1987).

At weaning, generally at 21 to 28 days of age, piglets are deprived of antibodies in the milk and become vulnerable to ETEC. The control of PWD is still an important challenge in pig production. This disease is one of the most important causes of economic losses in pig production. Diarrhea, growth delay, recrudescence of concomitant diseases, drug cost, and mortality are responsible for these economic losses. ETEC associated mortality levels vary from 10 to 30% during the post-weaning period. Furthermore, ETEC associated with porcine PWD show high levels of antibiotic resistance (Fairbrother et al., personal communication). Analyses done on porcine ETEC isolated from 1978 to 2000 in Quebec (Canada) revealed a dramatic raise of antibiotic resistance since 1996. Some strains were resistant for all the 10 tested antimicrobial agents.

A recrudescence of PWD in pigs has been observed during the last 10 years in all pig producing countries. The exact causes for this recrudescence are still unknown but may be due to the emergence of more pathogenic and more antibiotic-resistant E. coli strains and/or husbandry changes (early weaning) and/or new European regulations forbidding use of antimicrobial agents as growth promoters or for prophylaxis treatment (prevention of diseases) and use of high levels metals, such zinc oxide in as (www.ucsusa.org/food and environment/antibiotic\_resistance\_archive /page.cfm?pageID=259). North American pig producers use high levels of antibiotics and zinc oxide to try to control PWD and/or concomitant diseases occurring during the post-weaning period, but without success due to the emergence of multiple antibiotic resistance. The weaning period is associated with higher antibiotic use in pig production. Use of antimicrobial agents as growth promoters or for prophylactic treatment and addition of high levels of heavy metals in the feed are still allowed in North America, but there is now growing resistance due to recrudescence of antibiotic resistance, allergic reactions to antibiotic residues, and contamination of cultivated soil. Similar regulations as those found in Europe are shortly expected in North America. Thus, there is an urgent need for alternatives to antimicrobial agents to prevent the PWD and to promote growth of pias.

In theory, injectable vaccines, such as those used for the prevention of neonatal diarrhea and administered to sows, could be a potential solution for the control of PWD. On the other hand, injection of each piglet would not be cost-effective in production. Furthermore, these vaccines stimulate production of systemic antibodies which do not reach bacteria in the intestines. Killed vaccines or bacterins (dead bacteria) orally or parenterally administered are not efficient for the control of PWD (Husband, 1993). Thus, to protect weaned pigs, an oral live vaccine using non-pathogenic F4-positive *E. coli* must be developed.

#### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

An object of the present invention is to provide a method for enhancing animal weight gain.

More specifically, the method of the invention comprises the step of administering to an animal in need thereof an effective amount of at least one non-pathogenic F4-positive live *E. coli* strain.

# BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT OF THE INVENTION

#### Introduction

# **Prevention of PWD**

Moon (1990) suggested the use of a live non-pathogenic  $E.\ coli$  strain for the prevention of the PWD in pigs. Although it is well accepted that a non-pathogenic F4-positive  $E.\ coli$  strain would stimulate a good humoral immunity, there are only few studies in the literature relating to trials using this kind of strain as an oral vaccine for pigs. Before Moon's recommendations, Bijlsma et al (1987) reported use of a non-enterotoxigenic F4-positive  $E.\ coli$  strain as a vaccine for sows administered before farrowing. They evaluated the transfer of the systemic antibodies from the sow to the colostrum and milk and then, to piglets during suckling. They administered 5 X  $10^{11}$  bacteria per day during the 28 days before farrowing. No clinical signs were observed in the sows despite repetitive administrations of massive doses bacteria. They observed that this vaccination allowed production of systemic antibodies (IgG, IgM and IgA), these antibodies being transfered into the colostrum and milk, then to piglets.

Many papers reported studies related to non-enterotoxigenic F4positive E. coli strain 2407, first reported by Casey and Moon (1990). This recombinant strain was created by the addition of a plasmid coding for F4 fimbriae to a wild type non-enterotoxigenic F4-negative E. coli strain. A similar genetically transformed strain (strain WBL1212:pMK005) had been reported earlier (Dougan et al. 1986. Smith and Huggins, 1978, Smith and Linggood, 1971). Vijtiuk et al. (1995) reported that the strain 2407 would probably be a good candidate for an oral vaccine for the prevention of the PWD in pigs. This strain caused no, or very few, histopathologic changes in the intestines but conferred no, or only partial, protection against F4positive ETEC (Francis and Willgohs, 1991, Bozic et al., 2002). Although the authors associated that lack of protection to immaturity of the immune system of piglets at weaning, stress, and/or mucosal immune system tolerance of F4 antigen, it is most probable that it is linked with the massive dose (10<sup>10</sup>) of challenge ETEC bacteria used for the trials.

Bozic et al (2003) reported that levamisol, a deworming drug with immunomodulatory affects, administered before vaccination, increased the protection properties of the strain 2407 and decreased the

presence of clinical signs in pigs. Unfortunately, Bozic et al. (2003) did not test for the F4-receptor status of pigs. In fact, differences observed could be linked to the proportion of pigs with receptors, these pigs being sensitive to F4-positive ETEC infections. Surprisingly, Bozic et al (2002) reported clinical signs (diarrhea and weight loss) starting the day following the administration of the non-pathogenic strain 2407. It is possible that the strain 2407 was incorrectly characterized and possesses virulence factors or that pigs used in the trials were colonized by F4-positive ETEC from the beginning of the experiments.

Many authors have reported the use of subunit vaccines (F4 fimbriae), orally or parenterally administered, for the prevention of PWD (Snoeck et al., 2003, van der Stede et al., 2003 and 2002, Van den Broeck et al, 2002 and 1999, Bianchi et al., 1996). These intramuscularly injected vaccines are similar to those previously described for the prevention of neonatal diarrhea and administered to sows. Although rapid humoral and/or systemic immune responses were reported in these studies, only a few authors evaluated the protection properties of these vaccines. Van den Broeck et al. (1999), using a porcine PWD model, reported that an oral vaccination with F4 fimbriae eliminated the excretion of the ETEC challenge strain in the feces. Unfortunately, the clinical signs were not evaluated in this study.

# Weight gain

There is no study in the scientific literature related to a wild type or recombinant non-pathogenic F4-positive *E. coli* strain inducing growth promotion in pigs.

#### Related commercial products

There is no veterinary or human commercial product with any relationship to a live non pathogenic F4-positive *E. coli* strain. On the other hand, there are 3 products using live non-pathogenic F4-negative *E. coli* strains:

1) Mutaflor of ARDEYPHARM (Germany; www.ardeypharm.de): Freeze dried culture of live non-pathogenic *E. coli* Nissle 1917 strain used as a probiotic in humans. Mutaflor is recommended for cases of ulcerative colitis, diarrhea, constipation, and for activation of the infant immune system. ARDEYPHARM mentions in the web site «www.ardeypharm.de: The historical development of Mutaflor therapy » that Mutaflor was tested for

food animal production, but without giving any references. Furthermore, no study has been found in the scientific literature with regard to the use of this strain in food animal production.

- 2) Probactrix of BioBalance Corporation (Israel; www.thebiobalancecorp.com): Liquid preparation of the non-pathogenic *E. coli* M-17 strain (ATCC 20226) mixed with volatile fractions of plant extracts. Probactrix is recommended as an exclusive competition agent for animals and humans.
  - a. From the web site: "The formula was approved as a food supplement for human use by the Ministry of Health of Israel in May 1998 and was approved for use as an animal food by the Ministry of Agriculture of Israel in September 1998. The formula has been extensively tested and used on animals (poultry and piglets) as well as on thousands of human volunteers with known gastrointestinal disorders. BioBalance is not aware of any side effects occurring as a result of the use of the product."
  - b. This product has been tested during the post-weaning period in pigs (www.isrvma.org/article/57\_4\_1.htm). They reported that Probactrix reduced the incidence of diarrhea by 6,6% and the incidence of the mortality associated with diarrhea by 6,59%. Furthermore, the authors reported higher weight gain (nearly 10%) for the animals treated with Probactrix when compared to untreated animals, but without statistics. Pigs were not challenged with an ETEC strain in this study. Diarrhea was associated with different pathogens such as *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *C. perfringens*, and rotavirus.
- 3) Colinfant newborn of DynTec (Czech Republic; www.dyntec.cz): Oral suspension of a freeze dried preparation of an *E. coli* O83:K24:H31 strain for the prevention and the treatment of nosocomial infections, intestinal infections, and intestinal bacterial populations disorders in infants.

# **Examples**

Freeze dried pure culture of a live wild type *E. coli* strain to prevent PWD and to promote growth of pigs. This strain is non-enterotoxigenic, expresses the F4 attaching factor, and possesses no known virulence factor-associated genes (see data sheet). Particular clones of the strain, for which the F4 fimbriae expression was stable after fermentation, were selected following repeated *in vitro* passages.

Oral administration of this strain prevents PWD in pigs (annexe 1) and promotes growth of healthy pigs (annexe 2). The strain is administered via the water supply system allowing a rapid treatment to several thousand pigs at the same time, such as done for administration of soluble antibiotics.

DATA SHEET				
	Determined with standard method of serotyping using "O" antigen and at the « Statens Serum Institut 5 Artillerivej 2300 Copenhagen S Denmark »			
РАТНОТУРЕ	NÉGATIVE FOR THE FOLLOWING TOXINS  STa, STb, LT, VT1(SLTI) et VT2 (SLTII), and CNF NÉGATIVE FOR THE FOLLOWING VIRULENCE FACTORS  Eae, Pap, Paa, East-1, and Aida  Confirmation by PCR and colony hybridization			
MEDIUM FOR FIMBRIAE EXPRESSION				
ANTIBIOGRAM RESISTANT TO:	Ampicillin, tetracycline, spectinomycin, tiamulin, tylosine			
ANTIBIOGRAM SENSIBLE TO:	Apramycin, ceftiofur, cephalothin, gentamicin, neomycin, trim/sulfamethoxazol			
STORAGE MEDIUM	Freeze dried (lyophilization) medium: 5% dextran T-40, 7% saccharose, 1% monosodium glutamate			
SOURCE OF THE ISOLATE	Isolated at The <i>Escherichia coli</i> Laboratory, Fac. méd. vét., Saint- Hyacinthe, 1999, from feces of a normal pig.			

#### Annexe 1

Efficacy and innocuity studies of the strain ECL-1000 (coliPROtec) for the control of post-weaning diarrhea in pigs.

# 1- Problematic

Post-weaning diarrhea (PWD) is one of the most costly diseases in pig production. The PWD is usually observed during the 2 first weeks after weaning. It is generally caused by E. coli of serotype O149:K91 having the F4 (also named K88) attaching factor, and a combination of several toxins, such as LT, STa, and STb. For the development of the disease, pigs must have the receptors specific for the bacterial F4 fimbriae. Nearly 30 to 40% of pigs have these receptors. Mortality associated with PWD is approximately 3% but can reach 30% on a farm experiencing an epidemic episode. Furthermore, the morbidity can be very high, causing a delay in growth, thus resulting in severe economic losses for the producers. Antibiotics and high concentrations of zinc oxide are generally used to attempt to control PWD in pig production and secondary PWD associated diseases. On the other hand, E. coli PWD associated strains are resistant to the commonly used antibiotics in pig production. Furthermore, use of high concentrations of zinc oxide is much debated due to environmental pollution by heavy metals.

#### 2- Objective

Evaluate the ability of a live *E. coli* F4-positive non-pathogenic strain (coliPROtec) to protect weaned pigs against an enterotoxigenic *E. coli* O149:K91 strain in a porcine PWD animal model developed in the *E. coli* Laboratory (ECL) of the Faculté de médicine vétérinaire of the Université de Montréal.

# 3- Experimental procedures:

#### 3.1 Animals

Three trials were done, using 10 treated and 10 untreated pigs each, for a total of 60 pigs. Pigs with diarrhea before the challenge, colonized by F4-positive *E. coli* strain before treatment, or colonized by an enterotoxigenic *E. coli* strain before challenge were excluded of the experiment. Pigs were purchased from different commercial farms.

#### 3.2 Porcine PWD animal model

Seventeen day-old weaned pigs were transferred in the experimental facilities of the Faculté de médecine vétérinaire of the Université de Montréal.

- Days 5 and 12 post-weaning (PW):
  - o Treated animals:
    - Oral administration of a single dose of coliPROtec (non pathogenic F4-positive/enterotoxin-negative E. coli strain in TSB)
  - o Untreated animals:
    - Oral administration of TSB
- Days 26 to 28 PW:
  - o Challenge with the enterotoxigenic strain
- Day 29 PW:
  - o Necropsy of animals

## 3.3 Evaluated parameters during experiments

Before the day of necropsy

- General health of the animals
- Diarrhea scores during the challenge days
- Weight of the animals

#### At the day of necropsy

- Diarrhea scores
- Consistency scores of intestinal content (Jejunum, Ileum, caecum, colon, rectum)
- Ileal colonization by the challenge strain (bacterial count)

#### Post-mortem

- F4-receptor status of animals

# **Consistency scores of feces (diarrhea)**

- (0): Normal
- (1): Softer than normal without liquid
- (2): Mainly solid with presence of liquid
- (3): Mainly liquid with presence of solid particles
- (4): Totally liquid

# **Consistency scores of intestinal contents**

- (0): Normal
- (1): Softer than normal without liquid

(2): Mainly solid with presence of liquid

(3): Mainly liquid or totally liquid

# 4. Results

The results are presented for F4-positive and negative animals, then for all animals. Only animals for which it was possible to observe feces were taken into account for the diarrhea scores. Results on the weight gain are presented separately (see accompanying document).

#### 4.1 Animals

Following exclusion of some animals with regards to criteria described previously, 28 pigs constituted the control untreated group (8 with and 20 without the F4-receptor) and 25 pigs constituted the treated group (11 with and 14 without F4-receptors).

# 4.2 F4-positive and F4-negative animals

# 4.2.1. Diarrhea scores (mean)

Animal status	Group	Day 1 post- challenge	Day 2 post- challenge	Day 3 post- challenge (Necropsy)
R-F4	Control	1,63	1,75	2,14
positive	coliPROtec	0,18	0,36	1,09

R-F4	Control	0,85	0,30	0,94
negative	coliPROtec	0,00	0,93	1.00

# 4.2.2. Consistency scores of intestinal contents (mean)

Animal status	Group	Jejunum	Ileum	Caecum	Colon	Rectum
R-F4	Control	2,75	3,00	2,75	1,75	1,63
positive	coliPROtec	0,38	0,30	1,00	1,00	0,64
R-F4	Control	0,70	1,81	0,85	0,25	0,20
negative	coliPROtec	0,43	0,55	0,23	0,23	0,15

# 4.2.3. Ileal colonization by the challenge strain (median)

Animal status	Group	CFU/g of tissue
F4	Control	1,1 X 10 <sup>7</sup>
R-F4 positive	coliPROtec	1,4 X 10 <sup>5</sup>
R-F4	Control	1,3 X 10 <sup>3</sup>
negative	coliPROtec	3.8 X 10 <sup>1</sup>

# 4.3 All animals

# 4.3.1. Diarrhea scores (mean)

Group	Day 1 post- challenge	Day 2 post- challenge	Day 3 post- challenge (Necropsy)
Control	1,30	1,00	1,52
coliPROtec	0,08	0,68	1,05

# 4.3.2. Consistency scores of intestinal contents (mean)

Group	Jejunum	Ileum	Caecum	Colon	Rectum
Control	1,29	2,21	1,39	0,68	0,61
coliPROtec	0,38	0,76	0,58	0,42	0,25

# 4.3.3. Ileal colonization by the challenge strain (median)

	CFU/g de tissue	
Control	9,1 X 10 <sup>3</sup>	
coliPROtec	6,1 X 10 <sup>1</sup>	

# 4.4. Interpretation of the results

The treatment with coliPROtec reduced by 2 Logs the colonization by the enterotoxigenic strain of the ileum of F4-receptor positive animals. Furthermore, coliPROtec nearly abolished the ileal colonization for F4receptor negative animals.

F4-receptor positive animals treated with coliPROtec showed diarrhea and consistency intestinal content scores similar to those observed for F4-receptor negative animals.

All animals treated with coliPROtec were in good health and showed a better weight gain than untreated animals (see accompanying document for the weight gain).

We conclude that coliPROtec is safe, efficient for the control of PWD in pigs and results in a better weight gain. The administration of coliPROtec does not require any animal restraint, thus reducing animal stress. The strain coliPROtec can be easily and rapidly administrated to a large population of pigs via the water medicator system.

#### 5.0. Conclusions

coliPROtec is efficient for the control of the PWD when 2 doses are administered at 21 and 14 days before challenge. Additional trials will be necessary for the evaluation of the administration a single oral dose or 2 oral doses close to challenge.

## Annexe 2

# Effect of coliPROtec on weight gain

# 1. Description of the product:

Live *E. coli* F4-positive non-pathogenic strain, orally administrated in weaned pigs for the control of post-weaning diarrhea (PWD) and for the promotion of the weight gain.

# 2. Identification of the strain

Reference number in the ECL strain collection: ECL 1000

Name of the product: coliPROtec

Note: The strain was previously designated as « JFF4 vaccine ».

# 3. New application of the coliPROtec

During the innocuity studies of the coliPROtec, we noticed a positive effect of the product on the weight gain of the animals. Pigs treated with only one oral dose of coliPROtec at the beginning of the post-weaning period had a daily weight gain higher by 53g when compared to untreated animals. Specifically, treated pigs were 849g heavier than untreated pigs, 2 weeks after the treatment.

Therefore, coliPROtec responds to 2 needs in pig production, control of the PWD and an additive positive effect on weight gain. Contrary to the effect on the control of PWD, the effect on weight gain has not yet been disclosed.

# 5. Summary of the experiments:

**5.1 Animals:** Five trials were done for a total of 45 treated and 45 untreated pigs. These pigs came from different commercial farms. They received standard commercial feed without antibiotics and zinc oxide.

5.2 Place : Faculté de médecine vétérinaire, Université de Montréal

#### 5.3 Trials

Day 1: Arrival of the 17-day-old weaned pigs.

Days 1 to 4: Adaptation period

Day 5: Treatment of the animals with a single dose of coliPROtec

(non pathogenic F4-positive/enterotoxin-negative *E. coli* strain in TSB) and weighing of the animals. The control

group was treated with TSB only.

Day 20: Weighing of the animals and end of the experiment.

#### 5.4 Results:

Table1: Weight of the animals

Day	Weight (Kg)		T 4	coliPROtec vs	
Day	Untreated	coliPROtec	T-test	untreated (g)	
5	6,053	6,137	p = 0.754	+84	
20	12,055	12,904	p = 0.020	+849	

Table 2: Daily weight gain

Dave	Daily weig	ht gain (g)	Tion	coliPROtec vs
Days	Untreated	coliPROtec	T-test	untreated
5 to 20	405	458	p = 0.007	+53

At day 5 post-weaning, before the administration of coliPROtec, the weight of the two groups was not statistically different. On the other hand, at day 20 post-weaning (15 days after the treatment), the treated animals were 849g heavier and demonstrated a daily weight gain of 53g more than untreated animals, these differences being statistically significant.

Antibiotic growth promoters generally increase the weight gain by 3,3 to 8,8% (Doyle, M.E., Food Research Institute, University of Wisconsin, 2001). The coliPROtec strain increased the daily weight gain by 11% during the 2 weeks following the single dose.

#### References

•

Bianchi AT, Scholten JW, van Zijderveld AM, van Zijderveld FG, Bokhout BA. Parenteral vaccination of mice and piglets with F4+ Escherichia coli suppresses the enteric anti-F4 response upon oral infection. Vaccine. 1996. 14:199-206.

Bijlsma IG, van Houten M, Frik JF, Ruitenberg EJ. K88 variants K88ab, K88ac and K88ad in oral vaccination of different porcine adhesive phenotypes. Immunological aspects. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 1987. 16: 235-250.

Bozic F, Bilic V, Valpotic I. Levamisole mucosal adjuvant activity for a live attenuated *Escherichia col* oral vaccine in weaned pigs. J Vet Pharmacol Ther. 2003. 26:225-231.

Bozic F, Lackovic G, Stokes CR, Valpotic I. Recruitment of intestinal CD45RA+ and CD45RC+ cells induced by a candidate oral vaccine against porcine post-weaning colibacillosis. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2002. 86:137-146.

Casey TA, Moon HW. Genetic characterization and virulence of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* mutants which have lost virulence genes in vivo. Infect Immun. 1990 58:4156-4158.

Dougan G, Sellwood R, Maskell D, Sweeney K, Liew FY, Beesley J, Hormaeche C. *In vivo* properties of a cloned K88 adherence antigen determinant. Infect Immun. 1986. 52:344-347.

Fairbrother, J. M. Enteric colibacillosis. In: Diseases of Swine, 7<sup>th</sup> edition (Leman, A. D., Straw, B. E. et al., eds.),pp. 489–497. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, 1992

Francis DH, Willgohs JA. Evaluation of a live avirulent *Escherichia coli* vaccine for K88+, LT+ enterotoxigenic colibacillosis in weaned pigs. Am J Vet Res. 1991. 52:1051-1055.

Husband, A.J. Novel vaccination strategies for the control of mucosal infection. Vaccine. 1993. 11:107-112.

Moon, H.W. Colonization factor antigens of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in animals. Current Topic in Microbiology and Immunology. 1990. 151:147-165.

Smith, H. W., and M. B. Huggins. The influence of plasmid determined and other characteristics of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* on their ability to proliferate in the alimentary tract of piglets, calves and lambs. J. Med. Microbiol. 1978. 11:471-492.

Smith, H. W., and M. A. Linggood. Observations on the pathogenic properties of the K88, HLY and ENT plasmids of *Escherichia coli* with particular reference to porcine diarrhoea. J. Med. Microbiol. 1971. 4:467-485

Snoeck V, Huyghebaert N, Cox E, Vermeire A, Vancaeneghem S, Remon JP, Goddeeris BM. Enteric-coated pellets of F4 fimbriae for oral vaccination of suckling piglets against enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* infections. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2003. 96:219-227.

van den Broeck W, Bouchaut H, Cox E, Goddeeris BM. F4 receptor-independent priming of the systemic immune system of pigs by low oral doses of F4 fimbriae. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2002. 85:171-178.

van den Broeck W, Cox E, Goddeeris BM. Induction of immune responses in pigs following oral administration of purified F4 fimbriae. Vaccine. 1999, 17: 2020-2029.

van der Stede Y, Cox E, Goddeeris BM. Antigen dose modulates the immunoglobulin isotype responses of pigs against intramuscularly administered F4-fimbriae. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2002. 88:209-216.

van der Stede Y, Cox E, Verdonck F, Vancaeneghem S, Goddeeris BM. Reduced faecal excretion of F4+-*E coli* by the intramuscular immunisation of suckling piglets by the addition of 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 or CpG-oligodeoxynucleotides. Vaccine. 2003. 21:1023-1032.

Vijtiuk N, Curic S, Lackovic G, Udovicic I, Vrbanac I, Valpotic I. Histopathological features in the small intestine of pigs infected with F4ac+ non-enterotoxigenic or enterotoxigenic strains of *Escherichia coli*. J Comp Pathol. 1995. 112:1-10.